

Algebraic K -theory of the infinite place

Jakob Scholbach*

February 24, 2012

Abstract

In this note, we show that the algebraic K -theory of generalized archimedean valuation rings occurring in Durov's compactification of the spectrum of a number ring is given by stable homotopy groups of certain classifying spaces. We also show that the “residue field at infinity” is badly behaved from a K -theoretic point of view.

1 Introduction

In number theory, it is a universally accepted principle that the spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}$ should be completed with an infinite prime. This is corroborated, for example, by Ostrowski's theorem, the product formula

$$\prod_{p \leq \infty} |x|_p = 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times,$$

the Hasse principle, Artin-Verdier duality, and functional equations of L -functions.

This “compactification” $\mathrm{Spec} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ was just a philosophical device until recently: Durov has proposed a rigorous framework which allows for a discussion of, say, $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$, the local ring of $\mathrm{Spec} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ at $p = \infty$ [Dur]. The purpose of this work is to study the K -theory of the so-called generalized rings intervening at the infinite place.

Algebraic K -theory is a well-established, if difficult, invariant of arithmetical schemes. For example, the pole orders of the Dedekind ζ -function $\zeta_F(s)$ of a number field F are expressible by the ranks of the K -theory groups of $\mathcal{O}_F$, the ring of integers. By definition, K -theory only depends on the category of projective modules over a ring. Therefore, this interacts nicely with Durov's theory of *generalized rings* which describes (actually: defines) such a ring R by defining its free modules. For example, the free $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ -module of rank n is defined as the n -dimensional octahedron, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}(n) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n, \sum_i |x_i| \leq 1\}.$$

*Universität Münster, Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstr. 62, D-48149 Münster, Germany.

The abstract theory of such modules is a priori more complicated than in the classical case since $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ -modules fail to build an abelian category. Nonetheless, using Waldhausen's S -construction it is possible to study the *algebraic K -theory* of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ and similar rings occuring for other number fields (Theorem 3.6, Definition 3.8).

Theorem 3.11. *The K -groups of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$, $K_i(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)})$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 0$ (Durov [Dur, 10.4.19]) and*

$$K_i(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}) = \pi_i^s(B\mu_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mu_2 & i = 1 \\ \text{a finite group} & i > 1 \end{cases}$$

Here $\mu_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ of elements of norm 1, i.e., the subgroup of (multiplicative) units of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$.

This theorem is proven for more general generalized valuation rings including $\mathcal{O}_{F(\sigma)}$, the ring corresponding to an infinite place σ of a number field F . The basic point is this: the only admissible monomorphisms (i.e., the ones occurring in the S -construction of K -theory)

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}(1) = [-1, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}(2)$$

are given by mapping the interval to one of the two diagonals of the square. Thereby, the Waldhausen category structure on free modules turns out to be equivalent to the one of finitely generated pointed $\{\pm 1\}$ -sets, whose K -theory is well-known. A cofinality argument shows that the higher K -theory of free and of projective modules agrees. In the course of the proof we also show that other plausible definitions, such as the $S^{-1}S$ -construction, the Q -construction, and the $+$ -construction yield the same K -groups.

We finish this note by pointing out two K -theoretic differences of the infinite place: we show that $K_0(\mathbb{F}_\infty) = 0$ (Proposition 4.2), as opposed to $K_0(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}$. Also, the completions at infinity are not well-behaved from a K -theoretic viewpoint. These remarks raise the question whether the “local” ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ should be considered regular or, more precisely, whether

$$K_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}) \rightarrow K'_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}) := \mathbb{Z}[\text{finitely presented } \mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}]/\text{short exact sequences}$$

is an isomorphism. Unlike in the classical case, there does not seem to be an easy resolution argument in the context of Waldhausen categories. Another natural question is whether there is a Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the form

$$K_i(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow K_i(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus K_i(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}) \rightarrow K_i(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a generalized scheme obtained by glueing $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ along $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}$. Note that the usual Mayer-Vietoris sequence for K -theory of (classical) schemes proceeds by the one for K' -theory and is therefore available for regular schemes only.

Throughout the paper, we use the following *notation*: F is a number field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$. Finite primes of $\mathcal{O}_F$ are denoted by $\mathfrak{p}$. We write Σ_F for the set of real and pairs of complex embeddings of F . The letter σ usually denotes an element of Σ_F . It is referred to as an infinite prime of $\mathcal{O}_F$.

Acknowledgement: It will be clear from this introduction that this paper is quite a modest attempt to test the K -theoretic behavior of Durov's generalized valuation rings: the work we do with these rings is elementary, and as soon as more complicated homotopical machinery is used we can rely on established techniques, especially Waldhausen's S -construction. I would like to thank Fabian Hebestreit for a few helpful discussions.

2 Generalized rings

In a few brushstrokes, we recall the definition of generalized rings and their modules and some basic properties. Everything in this section is due to Durov; see [Dur], where a much more detailed discussion is found.

Recall that a monad in the category of sets is a functor $R : \mathbf{Sets} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ together with functors $\mu : R \circ R \rightarrow R$ and $\epsilon : \text{Id} \rightarrow R$ required to satisfy an associativity and unitality axiom akin to the case of monoids. We will write $R(n) := R(\{1, \dots, n\})$. An R -module is a set X together with a morphism of monads $R \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$, where the endomorphism monad $\text{End}(X)$ satisfies $\text{End}(X)(n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(X^n, X)$. In other words, X is endowed with an action

$$R(n) \times X^n \rightarrow X$$

satisfying the usual associativity conditions. Thus, $R(n)$ can be thought of as the n -ary operations (acting on any R -module).

Definition 2.1. (Durov) A *generalized ring* is a monad R in the category of sets satisfying two properties:

- R is *algebraic*, i.e., it commutes with filtered colimits. Since every set is the filtered colimit of its finite subsets, this implies that R is determined by $R(n)$ for $n \geq 0$.
- R is *commutative*, i.e., for any $t \in R(n)$, $t' \in R(n')$, any R -module X (it suffices to take $X = R(n \times n')$) and $A \in X^{n \times n'}$, we have

$$t(t'(A)) = t'(t(A)),$$

where on the left hand side $t'(A) \in X^n$ is obtained by letting act t' on all rows of A and similarly (with columns) on the right hand side.

For a unital ring R (in the sense of usual abstract algebra), let

$$R(n) := R^n$$

for each $n \geq 0$. The addition and multiplication on R turn this into an (algebraic) monad which is commutative iff $R = R(1)$ is. This defines a functor from

commutative rings to generalized rings, which is easily seen to be fully faithful: images of column vectors $x \in R(n)$ are uniquely determined by the values on its entries. In the same vein, R -modules in the classical sense are equivalent to R -modules (in the generalized sense). Henceforth, we will therefore not distinguish between classical commutative rings and their associated generalized rings.

The monad $\mathbf{Sets} \ni M \mapsto M \sqcup \{*\}$ is denoted $\mathbb{F}_1$. It is not induced by a classical ring. The main example of non-classical rings important for us will be defined in 3.2.

Given a morphism $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ of generalized rings, the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(R)$ between the module categories has a left adjoint $\phi^* : \mathbf{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(S)$ called *base change* [Dur, 4.6.19]. Let R be a generalized ring. A *free R -module* is one of the form $R(X)$, where X is any set. This terminology is justified by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Mod}(R)}(R(X), M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(X, M),$$

i.e., $X \mapsto R(X)$ is left adjoint to the forgetful functor, as in the classical case.

An R -module M is *finitely generated* if there is a surjection $R(n) \twoheadrightarrow M$ for some $n \geq 0$. Unless the contrary is explicitly mentioned, all our modules are supposed to be finitely generated over the (generalized) ground ring in question. An R -module M is *projective* iff it is a retract of a free module. As in the classical case this is equivalent to the property that for any surjection of R -modules $N \twoheadrightarrow N'$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Mod}(R)}(M, N)$ maps onto $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Mod}(R)}(M, N')$. The categories of (finitely generated) free and projective R -modules are denoted $\mathbf{Free}(R)$ and $\mathbf{Proj}(R)$, respectively.

As usual, an *ideal* I of R is a submodule of $R(1)$. A proper ideal $I \subsetneq R(1)$ is called *prime* if $R(1) \setminus I$ is multiplicatively closed. The *spectrum* $\mathrm{Spec} R$ of R is the set of all prime ideals. As usual, it is endowed with the *Zariski topology*: open subsets consist of those prime ideals not intersecting some multiplicatively closed subset $S \subset R(1)$. For any map of generalized rings $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ and any R -module M , put $M(R') := \phi^*(M)$. This restricts to a sheaf $\tilde{M}$ on the topological space $\mathrm{Spec} R$. In particular, this applies to $M = R$. The resulting sheaf is denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} R}$. A *generalized scheme* is a locally generalized ringed topological space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ that is locally isomorphic to $(\mathrm{Spec} R, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} R})$ [Dur, 6.5].

3 Archimedean valuation rings

3.1 Definitions

Let K be an integral domain equipped with a norm $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. We put $E := \{x \in K, |x| = 1\}$. We also write $|x|$ for the L^1 -norm on K^n , i.e., $|x| = \sum_i |x_i|$. Throughout, we assume:

Assumption 3.1. • For every $\epsilon > 0$, $|K^\times| \cap (1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon) \setminus \{1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is non-empty (for example if $|K^\times| \subset \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is dense). This implies that $|K^\times| \subset \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is unbounded.

- $E \subset K^\times$.

Definition 3.2. [Dur, 5.7.13] The (*generalized*) *valuation ring* associated to $(K, |\cdot|)$ is the submonad $\mathcal{O}$ of K given by

$$\mathcal{O}(n) := \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K(n) = K^n, |x| = \sum_i |x_i| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $E(n) := \{x \in K(n) = K^n, |x| = 1\}$ be the “boundary” of $\mathcal{O}(n)$. (This is merely a collection of sets, not a monad.) We write $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and E for $E(1)$, if no confusion arises. In particular, $x \in \mathcal{O}$ means $x \in \mathcal{O}(1)$. The i -th standard coordinate vector $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ is called a *basis vector* of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

The base change functor resulting from the monomorphism $\mathcal{O} \subset K$ of generalized rings is denoted

$$(-)_K : \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(K).$$

Actually, K is a unary localization [Dur, 6.1.2] of $\mathcal{O}$, $K = \mathcal{O}[1/t]$, where $t \in K^\times$ is such that $|t| < 1$. Therefore K is flat over $\mathcal{O}$, so $(-)_K$ preserves finite projective limits, in particular monomorphisms and kernels. As $(-)_K$ is a left adjoint, it also preserves injective limits, such as cokernels.

Example 3.3. Let F be a number field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$. We fix a complex embedding $\sigma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and take the norm $|\cdot|$ induced by σ . Let K be either $\mathcal{O}_F[1/N]$ ($N > 1$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}$), F , or $\widehat{F}^\sigma$, the completion of F with respect to σ . The respective generalized valuation rings will be denoted $\mathcal{O}_{F,1/N,(\sigma)}$, $\mathcal{O}_{F,(\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F,\sigma}$, respectively. For example, $\mathcal{O}_{F,(\sigma)} = \mathcal{O}_{F,(\overline{\sigma})}$. The first bit of Assumption 3.1 is clearly satisfied. Let $x \in K$ with $|x| = 1$. If σ is a real embedding, $x = \pm|x| = \pm 1$. If σ is a complex embedding, let $\overline{\sigma}$ be its complex conjugate and $\overline{x} \in K$ be such that $\sigma(\overline{x}) = \overline{\sigma(x)}$. Then $\sigma(x)\sigma(\overline{x}) = \sigma(x)\overline{\sigma(x)} = |\sigma(x)|^2 = 1$ implies $x \in K^\times$.

According to Durov, $\mathcal{O}_{F,(\sigma)}$ is the replacement for infinite places of the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{F(\mathfrak{p})}$ at finite places. However, the analogy is relatively loose, as shown by the following two remarks: first, for $p < \infty$, let $|x|_p := p^{-v_p(x)}$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$. Then the generalized ring $\mathbb{Z}_{|\cdot|_p}$ maps injectively to the localization $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime ideal p , but the map is a bijection only in degrees $\leq p$. (Less importantly, the first half of Assumption 3.1 is not satisfied for $\mathbb{Z}_{|\cdot|_p}$.)

Secondly, recall that the semilocalization $\mathcal{O}_{F(\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2)} = \mathcal{O}_{F(\mathfrak{p}_1)} \cap \mathcal{O}_{F(\mathfrak{p}_2)}$ at two finite primes is one-dimensional. In analogy, pick two $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_F$ and consider $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{(\sigma_1)} \cap \mathcal{O}_{(\sigma_2)} \subset F$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}(n) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n, \sum_k |\sigma_i(x_k)| \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}_i = \{x \in \mathcal{O}, |\sigma_i(x)| < 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{p} := \{x \in \mathcal{O}, |\sigma_1(x)\sigma_2(x)| < 1\}$. These are ideals: for example, for $x = (x_j) \in \mathcal{O}(n)$, $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{p}$, we need to check $\sum s_j x_j \in \mathfrak{p}$: if, say, $|\sigma_1(s_1)| < 1$ then

$$\left| \sigma_1\left(\sum_j s_j x_j\right) \right| \leq \sum |\sigma_1(s_j)| |\sigma_1(x_j)| < \sum |\sigma_1(x_j)| \leq 1.$$

The complement $\mathcal{O} \setminus \mathfrak{p} = \{x, |\sigma_1(x)| = |\sigma_2(x)| = 1\}$ is multiplicatively closed (and contains 1). We get a chain of prime ideals

$$0 \not\subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p} \not\subset \mathcal{O}.$$

The middle inclusion is, in general, strict, namely when $F = \mathbb{Q}[t]/p(t)$ with some irreducible polynomial $p(t)$ having zeros $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|a_1| = 1$, $|a_2| < 1$. That is, $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ is not one-dimensional.

3.2 Projective modules

Definition 3.4. Recall that $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ is the category of (finitely generated) projective $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. In $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ let *cofibrations* ($\rightarrow$) be the monomorphisms whose cokernel (in the category of all $\mathcal{O}$ -modules) lies in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$. Morphisms which are obtained as cokernels of cofibrations are called *fibrations* and denoted $\twoheadrightarrow$. Let *weak equivalences* $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ be the isomorphisms.

Analogously, we define a Waldhausen structure on $\mathbf{Free}(\mathcal{O})$: cofibrations are monomorphisms with free cokernel.

In order to show that this yields a Waldhausen category structure and then to calculate its K -theory, we need some facts about morphisms of projective $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. We will show that the cofibrations on $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ enjoy an essentially combinatorial flavor: cofibrations between free $\mathcal{O}$ -modules have at most one non-zero entry in each row and column. The non-zero entries are elements of $E = \{x \in K, |x| = 1\}$. Thus, cofibration sequences are uniquely split. In particular, cofibrations in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ are strict monomorphisms (i.e., kernel of their cokernel), so we recover an important aspect of monomorphisms in an abelian category.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f : M' \rightarrow M$ be a map in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$.*

(i) *[Dur, 2.8.3.] The following are equivalent:*

- a) $f_K : M'_K = K^{n'} \rightarrow M_K = K^n$ is a monomorphism,
- b) f is a monomorphism,
- c) f is injective (as a map of sets).

(ii) *Let f be a cofibration with cokernel M'' . Then there is a unique isomorphism $\phi : M \cong M' \oplus M''$ such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M' & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M'' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \phi & & \parallel \\ M' & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & M' \oplus M'' & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & M'' \end{array}.$$

Moreover, f is a strict monomorphism, i.e., it is the kernel of its cokernel.

Proof: (i) The base-change functor $(-)_K$ is left exact. Moreover, $M \subset M_K$, since M is a summand of a free module. This implies both the equivalences (ia) $\iff$ (ib) and (ia) $\iff$ (ic).

(ii): According to the general description of cokernels [Dur, 4.6.13], M'' is given as a set by

$$M'' = M \Big/ \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i m_i \sim \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \tilde{m}_i,$$

where I is any finite set, $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \mathcal{O}(\# I)$ and $m_i, \tilde{m}_i \in M$ are such that either $m_i = \tilde{m}_i$ or both $m_i, \tilde{m}_i \in M' \subset M$. This set is endowed with the $\mathcal{O}$ -action via the natural projection $M \rightarrow M''$.

We first show the existence and unicity of ϕ in the case where $M' = \mathcal{O}(n')$, $M = \mathcal{O}(n)$ are free $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. Let $f_i := f(e_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n'$ be the images of the basis vectors.

We claim that f factors over $\oplus_{i \leq n, e_i \in f(M')} e_i \mathcal{O} \subset M = \mathcal{O}(n)$. Once this is shown, we conclude, using the injectivity of f that the basis vectors $e_i \in M'$ get mapped under f to $e_i e_{j(i)}$ where $e_i \in E$ and $J : \{1, \dots, n'\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ is an injective set map. Then, we define

$$\phi : M = \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow M' \oplus M''$$

by mapping the basis vectors $e_{J(i)}$ of M to $(e_i^{-1} e_i, 0)$ and the remaining basis vectors $e_{j'}$ for $j' \notin \text{im } J$ to $(0, \pi(e_{j'}))$. This map is onto, since the basis vectors $e_{j'}$ generate M'' . It is injective, as can be seen by checking the definition or using (ia) $\Rightarrow$ (ic). Hence ϕ is an isomorphism.

To show the claim, let $m' \in f(M')$. We write it as $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i e_i$, where all $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and the e_i are basis vectors of M . Put

$$m' = \underbrace{\sum_{e_i \notin f(M')} \lambda_i e_i}_{=: m'_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{e_i \in f(M')} \lambda_i e_i}_{=: m'_2}.$$

By Assumption 3.1, we can pick some $t \in K^\times$ such that $|t| \leq 1/2$. Then $tm'_1 = tm' - tm'_2 \in M'$. Since M'' is projective by assumption, the surjection $\pi : M \rightarrow M''$ has a section ϕ . The key-point is this: e_i is not a non-trivial $\mathcal{O}$ -linear combination of other elements of M . Therefore, whenever $e_i \notin f(M')$, we obtain $\pi^{-1}(\pi(e_i)) = \{e_i\}$, so that $\phi(\pi(e_i)) = e_i$. Therefore,

$$0 = \phi(0_{M''}) = \phi(\pi(tm'_1)) = \sum_{e_i \notin f(M')} t \lambda_i \phi(\pi(e_i)) = \sum_{e_i \notin f(M')} t \lambda_i e_i,$$

so that $\lambda_i = 0$ for all such i .

This shows the existence of ϕ in the free case. For the unicity of ϕ , we replace ϕ by $\phi' \phi^{-1}$ and ϕ' by id_M and assume f is the standard inclusion $M' \rightarrow M = M' \oplus M''$ and π is the standard projection onto M'' . Then the endomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ is given by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{M'} & A \\ 0 & \text{Id}_{M''} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A : M'' \rightarrow M'$. Unlike in a general abelian category, A has to be zero, since all columns of A are elements of $\mathcal{O}(n)$. The unicity is shown in case M' and M are free. In the projective case, the unicity is also clear: two possible isomorphisms ϕ and ϕ' agree iff they do so after adding some $\tilde{M}'' \in \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ (such that $M'' \oplus \tilde{M}''$ is free) to M and M'' , so that M' and M'' are free and therefore M , being isomorphic to $M' \oplus M''$ is free as well.

In the general case of a cofibration between projective modules, let R' and $R \in \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ be such that $M' \oplus R'$ is free and $M \oplus R' \oplus R$ is free. Consider the commutative diagram, where ϕ is the isomorphism obtained before:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M' & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightleftharpoons[\pi]{\sigma} & M'' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M' \oplus R' & \xrightarrow{f \oplus \text{id}_{R'}} & M \oplus R' \oplus R & \xrightleftharpoons[\pi \oplus \text{id}_R]{\sigma \oplus \text{id}} & M'' \oplus R \\
 \parallel & & \cong \downarrow \phi & & \parallel \\
 M' \oplus R' & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & M' \oplus R' \oplus M'' \oplus R & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & M'' \oplus R \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M' & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & M' \oplus M'' & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & M''
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

We claim that the middle horizontal map, denoted by Φ , is an isomorphism. Recall from [Dur, 10.4.7] that any element $\xi \in X \oplus X'$ of a direct sum of any two $\mathcal{O}$ -modules can be written as $\xi = \lambda x + \lambda' x'$, where $x \in X$, $x' \in X'$, $(\lambda, \lambda') \in \mathcal{O}(2)$, i.e., $|\lambda| + |\lambda'| \leq 1$. (This presentation is not necessarily unique, but λx and $\lambda' x'$ are determined by ξ .) We will slightly abuse the notation and denote elements of $X \oplus X'$ by (x, x') . Let $\phi^{-1}((m', 0, m'', 0)) = (\mu, \rho', \rho)$ with $\mu \in M$ etc. For the surjectivity of Φ we need to show $\rho = \rho' = 0$. From the right half of (1) we see that $\rho = 0$. Let us show $\rho' = 0$. Pick (using Assumption 3.1) some $t \in K^\times$ with $|t| \leq 1/3$. The map $f \oplus \text{id}_{R'}$ is a strict monomorphism (of free modules). Therefore, so is f , i.e., $M' = \ker \pi$. Then

$$t\mu = \underbrace{t\mu - t\sigma\pi(\mu)}_{=: \tilde{m}} + t\sigma\pi(\mu).$$

where σ is some splitting of the map π , using that M'' is projective, and $\tilde{m} \in \ker \pi = M'$, so there is some $\tilde{m}' \in M'$ with $f(\tilde{m}') = \tilde{m}$.

$$\phi((t\mu, t\rho', 0)) = \phi((\tilde{m}, t\rho', 0)) + \phi((t\sigma\pi(\mu), 0, 0)).$$

The first summand is $(\tilde{m}', t\rho', 0, 0)$ since the left half of (1) commutes. For the second, we use that the splitting $\phi \circ (\sigma \oplus \text{id}_R)$ agrees with the natural splitting of the third row of (1) by the unicity of the splitting. Thus, $\phi((t\sigma\pi(\mu), 0, 0)) = (0, 0, t\pi(\mu), 0)$. Thus

$$t(m', 0, m'', 0) = \phi((t\mu, t\rho', 0)) = (\tilde{m}', t\rho', 0, 0) + (0, 0, t\pi(\mu), 0) = (\tilde{m}', t\rho', t\pi(\mu), 0).$$

Hence $\rho' = 0$, since all modules in sight are torsion-free. For the injectivity of Φ , consider $m_1, m_2 \in M$ with $\Phi(m_1) = \Phi(m_2)$. Then $\pi(m_1) = \pi(m_2)$. The map $f \oplus \text{id}_{R'}$ is a strict monomorphism (of free modules). Therefore, so is f . Then $tm_1 - tm_2 \in \ker \pi = M'$, so there is an element $m' \in M'$ with $f(m') = tm_1 - tm_2$, but also $(m', 0) = \Phi(tm_1 - tm_2) = 0$, hence $m' = 0$. Again, M is torsion-free, so that $m_1 - m_2 = 0$. We have shown that Φ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *The category $(\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}), \succ, \tilde{\rightarrow})$ defined in 3.4 is a Waldhausen category. The same is true for $(\mathbf{Free}(\mathcal{O}), \succ, \tilde{\rightarrow})$.*

Proof: The only non-trivial thing to show is the stability of cofibrations under cobase-change. By Proposition 3.5(ii), a cofibration sequence $M' \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M''$ in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ (or in $\mathbf{Free}(\mathcal{O})$) is isomorphic to $M' \succ M' \oplus M'' \twoheadrightarrow M''$. Hence, given any map $f : M' \rightarrow \tilde{M}'$, the pushout of ι along f , $\tilde{M}' \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \sqcup_{M'} M$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{M}' \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \oplus M''$ which is a monomorphism with cokernel M'' . $\square$

Remark 3.7. Mahanta uses split monomorphisms as cofibrations in the category of finitely generated modules over a fixed $\mathbb{F}_1$ -algebra (i.e., pointed monoid) to define G - (a.k.a. K' -)theory of such algebras [Mah11]. In $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$, we have seen that all cofibrations are split, but not conversely: the cokernel of the split monomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_\infty(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\infty(2)$, $e_1 \mapsto \frac{e_1}{2} + \frac{e_2}{2}$ is not projective.

3.3 K -theory

Recall the definition of K -theory of a Waldhausen category $\mathcal{C}$ (see e.g. [Wei11, Section IV.8] for more details). We always assume that the weak equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$ are its isomorphisms. The category $S_n\mathcal{C}$ consists of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 = A_{00} & \longrightarrow & A_{01} & \longrightarrow & A_{02} & \longrightarrow & \dots \longrightarrow A_{0n} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 = A_{11} & \longrightarrow & A_{12} & \longrightarrow & \dots \longrightarrow A_{1n} \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 = A_{22} & \longrightarrow & \dots \longrightarrow A_{2n} \\
 & & & & & & \vdots \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & A_{n-1,n}
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

such that $A_{i,j} \succ A_{i,k} \twoheadrightarrow A_{j,k}$ is a cofibration sequence. Varying n yields a simplicial category $S\mathcal{C}$. The subcategory of isomorphisms is denoted $wS\mathcal{C}$. Applying the classifying space construction of a category yields a pointed bisimplicial set

$S(\mathcal{C})_{n,m} := B_m w S_n \mathcal{C}$. For example, $S(\mathcal{C})_{n,0} = \text{Obj}(S_n \mathcal{C})$. The K -theory of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}) := \pi_{i+1} d(B_* w S \mathcal{C}),$$

where $d(-)$ is the diagonal of a bisimplicial set.

By Theorem 3.6, we are ready to define the *algebraic K -theory* of $\mathcal{O}$. We define a projective and a free variant. They will be shown to agree, except maybe for K_0 (Theorem 3.11).

Definition 3.8.

$$\begin{aligned} K_i(\mathcal{O}) &:= K_i(\mathbf{Free}(\mathcal{O})) = \pi_{i+1}(dBwS\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})), \quad i \geq 0, \\ K_i^{\mathbf{Proj}}(\mathcal{O}) &:= K_i(\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})) = \pi_{i+1}(dBwS\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})), \quad i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.9. *Given a ring homomorphism $f : K \rightarrow K'$ of normed domains such that f restricts to a map $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$, the functor $f^* : \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}')$, $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ is (Waldhausen-)exact and therefore induces a functorial map*

$$f^* : K_i^{\mathbf{Proj}}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow K_i^{\mathbf{Proj}}(\mathcal{O}').$$

The same statement holds for the K -theory of free modules.

Proof: As pointed out at p. 5, $f^* : \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{O}')$ preserves cokernels. Secondly, tensoring with $\mathcal{O}'$ preserves monomorphisms since a map $M \rightarrow M'$ of projective $\mathcal{O}$ -modules is a monomorphism iff $M_K \rightarrow M'_K$ is one (Proposition 3.5) and the statement is obvious for projective K -modules. $\square$

Example 3.10. By Proposition 3.5, $K_0(\mathcal{O})^{\mathbf{Proj}}$ is the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of projective $\mathcal{O}$ -modules modulo the relations

$$[P' \oplus P''] = [P'] + [P'']$$

for two projective modules P' and P'' and likewise for the K -theory of free $\mathcal{O}$ -modules.

For a domain K with associated generalized valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$, the composition

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto [\mathcal{O}]} K_0^{\mathbf{Proj}}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{(-)_K} K_0(\text{Quot}(K)) = \mathbb{Z}$$

is the identity, so $K_0^{\mathbf{Proj}}(\mathcal{O})$ contains $\mathbb{Z}$ as a direct summand. In the situation of Example 3.3, for $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{F(\sigma)}$, Durov has shown that every $\mathcal{O}$ -module is free if $|x| \in \sigma(F) \cap \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in F$ [Dur, 10.4.1.1]. (This condition limits the result to real embeddings.) The same is true for $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{F_\sigma}$ without any restriction on the norm. Hence in these cases, $K_0^{\mathbf{Proj}}(\mathcal{O}) = K_0(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

We now turn to higher K -theory of $\mathcal{O}$. Recall that $E := \{x \in \mathcal{O}, |x| = 1\}$ is the subgroup of norm one elements. Let us write $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) := \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}(n))$. According to Proposition 3.5,

$$\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) = E \wr S_n = E^n \rtimes S_n, \quad (3)$$

where the symmetric group S_n acts on E^n by permutations. In case $E = \mu_2 = \{\pm 1\}$, this group is known as the *hyperoctahedral group*. As usual, we write

$$\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O}) := \varinjlim_n \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$$

for the infinite linear group, where the transition maps are induced by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(n)) \ni f \mapsto f \oplus \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}}$. For any group G , let $G_{\mathrm{ab}} = G/[G, G]$ be its abelianization. We write $\pi_i^s(-)$ for the stable homotopy groups of a space and abbreviate $\pi_i^s := \pi_i^s(S^0)$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a generalized valuation ring as defined in 3.2. Then for $i > 0$, there is a functorial (in f^*) isomorphism*

$$K_i^{\mathrm{Proj}}(\mathcal{O}) \cong K_i(\mathcal{O}) \cong \pi_i^s(BE_+, *),$$

where the right hand side denotes the i -th stable homotopy group of the classifying space of E (viewed as a discrete group), with a disjoint base point $*$. For $i = 1, 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(\mathcal{O}) &= \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O})_{\mathrm{ab}} = E \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \\ K_2(\mathcal{O}) &= \varinjlim_n H_2([\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}), \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})], \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the right hand side in (4) is group homology with $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients.

We will first discuss our main example, when $\mathcal{O}$ comes from an infinite place of a number field, as in Example 3.3. Then, we prove a preliminary lemma and proceed to prove the theorem.

Example 3.12. Let us consider a number field F with the norm induced by some complex embedding $\sigma \in \Sigma_F$ (see p. 3 for notation). The torsion subgroup E_{tor} of $E := \{x \in F^\times, |x| = 1\}$ agrees with the finite group μ_F of roots of unity. The exact localization sequence involving all finite primes of $\mathcal{O}_F$,

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times \rightarrow F^\times \rightarrow K := \ker(\oplus_{\mathfrak{p} < \infty} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{cl}(F)) \rightarrow 0,$$

shows $F^\times/\mu_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F^\times/\mu_F \oplus K$. Hence it is free abelian by Dirichlet's unit theorem. Thus

$$E \subset \mu_F \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1} \oplus K,$$

where r_1 and r_2 are the numbers of real and pairs of complex embeddings. Therefore, $E = \mu_F \oplus \mathbb{Z}^S$, where $S := \mathrm{rk} E$ is at most countably infinite. Of course, $E = \{\pm 1\}$ whenever σ is a real embedding, but also, for example, for any complex embedding of $F = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$. For $F = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}]$, E is the (countably) infinitely generated group of pythagorean triples [Eck84] (see also [ZZ91] for a description of the group structure of pythagorean triples in more general number fields).

The group μ_F is cyclic of order w , so the long exact sequence of group homology,

$$H_i(\mu_F, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cdot n} H_i(\mu_F, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_i(\mu_F, \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(\mu_F, \mathbb{Z}),$$

together with the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$H_p(\mu_F, \pi_q^s) = H_p(B\mu_F, \pi_q^s) \Rightarrow \pi_{p+q}^s(B\mu_F)$$

yield at least for small p and q explicit bounds on $\pi_{p+q}^s(B\mu_F)$: the E^2 -page reads

$q \uparrow$				
2	$\pi_2^s = \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/w'$	$\mathbb{Z}/w'$	
1	$\pi_1^s = \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mu_F/2 = \mathbb{Z}/w'$	$\mathbb{Z}/w'$	
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mu_F = \mathbb{Z}/w$	0	
	0	1	2	$p \rightarrow$

where $w' = (2, w)$. In general, $\pi_{p+q}^s(B\mu_F)$ is finite for $p + q > 0$. For $i > 0$,

$$K_i(\mathcal{O}_{F(\sigma)}) = K_i(\mathcal{O}_{F(\sigma)}) = \pi_i^s(B(\mu_F \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus S})_+, *) = \pi_i^s(B\mu_F \vee \bigvee_S S^1) = \pi_i^s(B\mu_F) \oplus \bigoplus_S \pi_{i-1}^s,$$

in particular

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(\mathcal{O}_{F(\sigma)}) &= \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mu_F \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus S}, \\ K_2(\mathcal{O}_{F(\sigma)}) &= G \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\oplus S}, \end{aligned}$$

where G is a finite (abelian) group which is filtered by a filtration whose graded pieces are subquotients of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\mathbb{Z}/w'$. (Determining which one would require studying the differentials of the spectral sequence).

Lemma 3.13. *The map*

$$\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O})_{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow E \times \mathbb{Z}/2, (\epsilon, \sigma) \mapsto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i, \mathrm{parity}(\sigma) \right)$$

is an isomorphism. Here the representation of elements of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O})$ is as in (3). The group $[\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O}), \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O})]$ is perfect.

Proof: For $i \geq 1$ and $\epsilon \in E$, let $\epsilon_i = (1, \dots, 1, \epsilon, 1, \dots) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} E$ be the vector with ϵ at the i -th spot. Let $\sigma_i = (i, i+1) \in S_n$ be the permutation swapping the i -th and $i+1$ -st letter. The ϵ_i and σ_i , for $i \geq 1$ and $\epsilon \in E$, generate $G := \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O})$. In G , we have relations $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$, which implies $\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}$ in G_{ab} . Moreover, in G we have the relation $\epsilon_i \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \epsilon_{i+1}$, so that we get in G_{ab}

$$\epsilon_i = \sigma_i \sigma_i \epsilon_i = \sigma_i \epsilon_{i-1} \sigma_{i+1} = \epsilon_{i-1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} = \epsilon_{i-1}.$$

This shows the first claim.

Next, we show that $H := [G_n, G_n]$ is perfect for $n \geq 5$, where $G_n := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(n))$. This implies that $[G, G]$ is perfect. By the previous step, $H = K \rtimes A_n$, where the alternating group A_n acts on $K := \ker(\prod_{i=1}^n E \rightarrow E) (\cong E^{n-1})$ by restricting the S_n -action on E^n . The group K is generated by vectors $f_i := f_i^\epsilon := \epsilon_i (\epsilon^{-1})_{i+1}$,

$\epsilon \in E$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, while A_n is generated by $\tau_i = \sigma_{i+1} \circ \sigma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. The commutators $[\tau_i, f_j]$ are given by

$$[\tau_i, f_j] = \tau_i f_j \tau_i^{-1} f_j^{-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & j \leq i-2 \\ \epsilon_i(\epsilon^{-1})_{i+2} & j = i-1 \quad (1) \\ (\epsilon^{-2})_i \epsilon_{i+1} \epsilon_{i+2} & j = i \quad (2) \\ \epsilon_i(\epsilon^{-2})_{i+1} \epsilon_{i+2} & j = i+1 \quad (3) \\ \epsilon_{i+1}(\epsilon^{-1})_{i+2} & j \geq i+2 \quad (4) \\ 1 & j \geq i+3 \end{cases}$$

The relation (3) for $i = 1$ and (1) for $i = 2$ show that any $x \in K$ is equivalent, in H_{ab} , to one with $x_1 = x_n = 1$. Now use relation (4) to conclude that the image of K in H_{ab} is trivial. Moreover, A_n is simple for $n \geq 5$, in particular $(A_n)_{ab} = 0$, so the image of A_n is trivial in H_{ab} . Thus, $H_{ab} = 1$. $\square$

Proof: We prove Theorem 3.11 by carrying over the identification of Waldhausen's K -theory with the Q - and the S -construction. This is well-known for the Waldhausen category of finite pointed sets (which would correspond to the impossible case $E = 1$), in which case the analogous statement reads

$$K_i(\mathbb{F}_1) := K_i((\text{finite pointed sets}, \text{injections}, \text{bijections})) = \pi_i^s,$$

the stable homotopy groups of spheres. Let us point out the (equally well-known [Wei11, IV.4.9.3, Ex. IV.8.9]) minor modifications of that proof: let $Q\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ be Quillen's Q -construction, i.e., the category whose objects are the ones of $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Q\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})}(A, B) := \{A \leftarrow A' \rightarrow B\} / \sim,$$

where two such roofs are identified if there is an isomorphism between them which is the identity on A and B . It forms a category whose composition is given by the composite roof defined by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A'' := A' \times_B B' & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & A' & & B' & \\ \swarrow & & & & \searrow \\ A & & B & & C. \end{array}$$

Here, we use that A'' exists (in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$) since it is the kernel of the composite $B' \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/A'$, which is split by Proposition 3.5. The subcategory $S := \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}))$ of $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ consisting of isomorphisms only is a monoidal category under the direct sum. Hence $S^{-1}S$ is defined. We claim

$$\Omega BQ\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}) = S^{-1}S.$$

Indeed, the proof of [Wei11, Theorem IV.5.1] carries over: the extension category $\mathcal{E}\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ is defined as in *loc. cit.* and comes with a functor $t : \mathcal{E}\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow Q\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$, $(A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C) \mapsto C$. The fiber $\mathcal{E}_C := t^{-1}C$ ($C \in \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$) consists of sequences $A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$. The functor

$$\phi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_C, \quad A \mapsto A \twoheadrightarrow A \oplus C \twoheadrightarrow C$$

induces a homotopy equivalence $S^{-1}S \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{E}_C$ in the classical case of an exact category (instead of $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$). In our situation, ϕ is an equivalence of categories since any extension in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ splits *uniquely* (Proposition 3.5(ii)). The category $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$ contains the countable family $\mathcal{O}(n)$ of free objects and every object is a summand of a free module. Thus [Wei11, Theorem IV.4.10] applies and shows

$$BQ\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}) = K_0(S) \times BGL(\mathcal{O})^+,$$

where the right hand side is the $+$ -construction with respect to the perfect normal subgroup $[GL(\mathcal{O}), GL(\mathcal{O})]$ (Lemma 3.13). In the same vein, Waldhausen's comparison of the Q -construction and his S -construction carries over: $d(BwS\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O}))$ is weakly equivalent to $BQ\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{O})$.

All of the above applies verbatim to free $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, thus showing the claim $K_i^{\mathbf{Proj}}(\mathcal{O}) = K_i(\mathcal{O})$ for all $i > 0$. Finally, by the Barratt-Priddy theorem (see e.g. [Seg74, Th. 3.6])

$$\pi_i(BGL(\mathcal{O})^+) \cong \pi_i^s(BE_+, *).$$

The identification of the low-degree K -groups is the standard calculation of the $S^{-1}S$ -construction [Wei11, IV.4.8.1, IV.4.10]. $\square$

Remark 3.14. The calculation of $K_1(\mathcal{O})$ could also be done using the description of K_1 of a Waldhausen category due to Muro and Tonks [MT07]. Note that, according to Proposition 3.5, the proof of the free part of Theorem 3.11 can be summarized by saying that $S(\mathbf{Free}(\mathcal{O}))$ is in bijection to $S(\text{finitely generated pointed } E\text{-sets})$.

4 The residue field at infinity

We finish this work by noting two differences (as far as K -theory is concerned) to the case of classical rings, namely the K -theory of the residue “field” at infinity, and the behavior with respect to completion. For simplicity, we restrict our attention to the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $p < \infty$ be a (rational) prime with residue field $\mathbb{F}_p$. There is a long exact sequence

$$K_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow K_n(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

which stems from the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime ideal (p)) is a Noetherian regular local ring of dimension one. Moreover, for $n = 1$ the map δ is the p -adic valuation $v_p : \mathbb{Q}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The situation is less formidable

at the infinite places, as we will now see. The (generalized) valuation ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ (Definition 3.2) is *not* Noetherian: ascending chains of ideals need not terminate. Indeed, consider a finitely generated ideal $I = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$. Then $|I| = \{|m|, m \in I\} = [0, \max_i |m_i|] \cap |\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}|$. In particular, an ideal of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}, |x| < \lambda\}$, $\lambda \leq 1$ is not finitely generated, since $|\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}|$ is dense in $[0, 1]$. This should be compared with the well-known fact that the valuation ring of a non-archimedean field is noetherian iff the field is trivially or discretely valued.

Definition 4.1. [Dur, 4.8.13]. Put $\mathbb{F}_\infty := \mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)} / \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}}$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}}$ is the submonad given by

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}}(n) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^n, |\sigma(x)| < 1\}.$$

We refer to *loc. cit.* for the general definition of strict quotients of generalized rings by appropriate relations. For us, it is enough to note that every element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}(n)$ is uniquely represented by $z = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \epsilon_i e_i$, where $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $0 < \lambda_i \leq 1$, $\sum \lambda_i \leq 1$, $\epsilon_i \in E_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}} = \{\pm 1\}$, and e_i is the standard basis vector. In $\mathbb{F}_\infty(n)$, two such elements z and z' get identified iff $I_z = I_{z'}$ and $\epsilon_{i,z} = \epsilon_{i,z'}$ for all $i \in I_z$. That is, as a set $\mathbb{F}_\infty(n)$ consists of the faces of the n -dimensional octahedron.

As usual, we put

$$K_0(\mathbb{F}_\infty) := \left(\bigoplus_{M \in \mathbf{Proj}(\mathbb{F}_\infty)/Iso} \mathbb{Z} \right) / [M] = [M'] + [M''],$$

with a relation for each monomorphism $M' \rightarrow M$ in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ such that its cokernel M'' (computed in $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$) lies in $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. Using the above, one sees that $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ is not finitely presented as a $\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}$ -module. Thus, one should not expect a natural map $i_* : K_0(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)})$. Actually, more is true:

Proposition 4.2. $K_0(\mathbb{F}_\infty) = 0$. In particular, there is no exact sequence (regardless of the maps involved)

$$K_1(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^\times \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof: Any (finitely generated) projective $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ -module M is specified by a projector $\pi : \mathbb{F}_\infty(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\infty(n)$ with $M = \pi(\mathbb{F}_\infty(n))$. Let $a_i := \pi(e_i) \in \mathbb{F}_\infty(n)$ and write $a_i = \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{ij} e_j$ with $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for all $j \in J_i$. We assume that the number n of generators of M is minimal. This implies in particular that no two columns of A are the same and no column is zero.

As π is a projector, $a_i = \pi(a_i)$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} a_{ij} e_j = \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{ij} \pi(e_j) = \sum_{j \in J_i, k \in J_j} a_{ij} a_{jk} e_k \in \mathbb{F}_\infty(n).$$

In view of the identifications mentioned above, this implies $J_i = \cup_{j \in J_i} J_j$, i.e., $\pi(e_j)_k = a_{jk} = 0$ for all $k \notin J_j$ and, for any $l \in J_i$, there is at least one $k \in J_i$ such that $a_{kl} \neq 0$.

The minimality of n implies $i \in J_i$ for any i , otherwise $a_i = \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{ij} a_j$ would be an $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ -linear combination of other columns of A .

For $x \in [-1, 1]$ let $\text{sgn}(x) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ be the sign of x . We claim that for any i the matrix $A' := (a_{ij})_{i, j \in J_i}$ contains (at least) one row where exactly one item is non-zero. In fact, no row is identically zero, since $i \in J_i$ for all i . Let $P \in \mathbb{F}_\infty(n)^n$ be a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are all 1, except that $p_{jj} = -1$ if $j \in J_i$, $j \neq i$, $\text{sgn}(a_{ij}) \neq \text{sgn}(a_{ii})$ (which is equivalent to $\text{sgn}(a_{ij}) = \text{sgn}(-a_{ii})$). The projective module corresponding to $A' := PAP$ is isomorphic to M and the claim for A is equivalent to the one for A' . Therefore, we may replace A by A' and assume that $\text{sgn}(a_{ij}) = \text{sgn}(a_{ii})$ for all $j \in J_i$. Then

$$a_i = \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{ij} e_j = \pi(a_i) = \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{ij} a_j$$

We may also assume that $|a_{ij}| = |a_{ii}| = 1/\#J_i$ for all $j \in J_i$, by the above characterization of elements of $\mathbb{F}_\infty(n)$. Then

$$a_i = \pi(a_i) = \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{ij} \pi(a_j) = a_{ii} \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{jk} e_k,$$

so the vectors $a_i = (a_{ii})_{k \in J_i}$ and $(a_{ii} \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{jk})_{k \in J_i} \in \mathbb{F}_\infty(n)$ agree. This implies that the signs of each component of both vectors are the same. We distinguish two cases: $\text{sgn}(a_{ii}) < 0$ and > 0 . In the first case,

$$\text{sgn}(a_{ii} + \sum_{j \in J_i, j \neq i} a_{jk}) = 1$$

implies $\text{sgn}(\sum_{j \in J_i, j \neq i} a_{jk}) = 1$. In other words, $-a_i = \sum_{j \in J_i, j \neq i} a_j \in \mathbb{F}_\infty(n)$, so n is not minimal. Thus, we have $a_{ii} = +1/\#J_i$. Letting $B = (b_{ij}) := A^2$, we obtain, using $\sum_{j \in J_i} |b_{ij}| = 1$ and likewise for A :

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_j |b_{ij}| = \sum_j \left| \sum_k a_{ik} a_{kj} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_j \sum_k |a_{ik}| |a_{kj}| = \sum_k |a_{ik}| \underbrace{\left(\sum_j |a_{kj}| \right)}_{=1} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

and equality holds iff each of the summands $a_{ik} a_{kj}$ is non-negative. Thus $\text{sgn}(a_{kj}) = \text{sgn}(a_{ik} a_{kj}) = \text{sgn}(a_{ii} a_{ij}) \geq 0$ for any $j, k \in J_i$. In other words, all of the items in A' are non-negative. Thus, if each row of A' contains at least two non-zero entries, a_i is an $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ -linear combination of the a_j , $j \in A_i$, $j \neq i$. This contradicts the minimality of n . Thus, the claim is shown.

Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ consist of those i such that J_i is maximal, i.e., not contained in any other $J_{i'}$. For each $i \in I$, the columns $j \in J_i$, $j \neq i$ do not contain any entry in the i -th row. Thus for $i \in I$ there is an $i' \in I$, $i' \neq i$ such that $i \in J_{i'}$. Pursueing such a counting argument shows that there must be one row with only one non-zero entry.

Let, say, the first row be such that only one item (necessarily the first one, since $i \in J_i$) is non-zero. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{F}_\infty(n) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbb{F}_\infty(n-1) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{F}_\infty & \longrightarrow & M & \twoheadrightarrow & M' \end{array}$$

where ρ is the projection onto the last $n-1$ coordinates, ι is the injection first coordinate. The lower left-hand map is a monomorphism since the first row of A is nonzero. Its cokernel M' is the projective module determined by the matrix $(a_{ij})_{2 \leq i, j \leq n}$. This exact sequence shows that $K_0(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ is generated by $[\mathbb{F}_\infty]$.

On the other hand, consider the projective $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ -module P defined by the projector $\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ [Dur, 10.4.20]. It consists of 5 elements and can be visualized as

$$P = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \backslash \\ \bullet \end{array} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty(2) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \backslash \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{array}.$$

The composition $\mathbb{F}_\infty \xrightarrow{(1/2, 1/2)} \mathbb{F}_\infty(2) \twoheadrightarrow P$ is a monomorphism with cokernel $\mathbb{F}_\infty$. The standard inclusion $P \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\infty(2)$ has cokernel $\mathbb{F}_\infty$, spanned by e_1 . This shows that $[\mathbb{F}_\infty(2)] = 2[\mathbb{F}_\infty] = [P] + [\mathbb{F}_\infty] = 3[\mathbb{F}_\infty]$. Hence $K_0(\mathbb{F}_\infty) = 0$. $\square$

Remark 4.3. For $p \leq \infty$, let Fib be the homotopy fiber of $\Omega K(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\widehat{Fib}$ the one of $\Omega K(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. The localization sequence for K -theory shows in case $p < \infty$ that Fib and $\widehat{Fib}$ are homotopy equivalent (and given by $K(\mathbb{F}_p)$). Here Ω is the loop space and $K(-)$ is a space (or spectrum) computing K -theory, for example the S -construction. However, for $p = \infty$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_1(Fib) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathbb{Z}_{(\infty)}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(Fib) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi & & \\ \pi_1(\widehat{Fib}) & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{K_1(\mathbb{Z}_\infty)}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\oplus 2}} & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\widehat{Fib}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

so that $\pi_0(Fib) \not\subset \pi_0(\widehat{Fib})$.

References

- [Dur] N. Durov. New Approach to Arakelov Geometry. Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.2030>.

- [Eck84] Ernest J. Eckert. The group of primitive Pythagorean triangles. *Math. Mag.*, 57(1):22–27, 1984.
- [Mah11] Snigdhasayan Mahanta. G-Theory of $\mathbf{F}_1$ -Algebras I: the Equivariant Nishida Problem. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.6001>, 2011.
- [MT07] Fernando Muro and Andrew Tonks. The 1-type of a Waldhausen K -theory spectrum. *Adv. Math.*, 216(1):178–211, 2007.
- [Seg74] Graeme Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13:293–312, 1974.
- [Wei11] Chuck Weibel. K -theory (online book in preparation). 2011.
- [ZZ91] P. Zanardo and U. Zannier. The group of Pythagorean triples in number fields. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 159:81–88, 1991.